

Romsey Future Project Scoping - Scoring



Project Name:

Applicant Name:

Application date:

Date of scoring:

Summary

Question	Score	Out of
1) Linking to Romsey Future's Ambitions		20
2) Evidence of community support		20
3) Who will benefit?		10
4) Impact of proposal		20
5) Costings to deliver this proposal		10
6) Funding to deliver this proposal		10
7) Awareness of risk		10
Total		100

8) Risk to Romsey Future's reputation	Low / Medium / High
9) Deliverability	Low / Medium / High

1) Linking to Romsey Future's Ambitions

Romsey Future's ambitions are for the town to be: well connected, environmentally responsible, a strong community, place focussed, people centred, and economically thriving, they can be found in more detail in the partnership's Vision document. How does this proposal further the ambitions set out in the Romsey Future Vision document?

10: Clear links to Romsey Future's ambitions: The proposed project links to the ambitions in the Vision document and the way in which this project would further the partnership's aims and objectives are clearly demonstrated.

5: Partial links to Romsey Future's ambitions. Whilst the proposed project is linked in part to the ambitions set out in the Vision document, the proposal does not make clear how it would further the partnership's aims and objectives.

0: No link to Romsey Future's ambitions. The proposed project does not link to any of the ambitions set out in the Vision document.

Score	
Comments and feedback	

2) Evidence of community support

Does the community want the project?

20: Whole community support: An extensive consultation exercise has demonstrated widespread community consensus that the project is wanted e.g. parish plan.

10: Some evidence: Consultation with a number of user groups or a small cross-section of the wider community.

0: Little or none: No consultation, or limited to a small number of members / users.

Score	
Comments and feedback	

3) Who will benefit?

Who would the project benefit?

10: Serves whole community: The benefits of this proposal would serve people across Romsey and benefit many groups or organisations.

5: Multiple user groups: The proposal serves a number of communities equally though it may only serve a small or limited proportion of these communities.

0: Specific user group: The project generally serves only a specific community or a limited proportion of the community.

Score	
Comments and feedback	

4) Impact of proposal

What would the impacts be of this project? Will the impacts be sustainable?

20: High impact: the proposal will provide a key outcome that will have a significantly beneficial impact upon its users and will improve their quality of life. In addition, it may be providing something that was not previously been available to them.

10: Medium impact: The proposal will provide an important outcome that makes a positive impact on the life of its users that was previously not provided to local residents.

0: Little impact: the proposal will provide an outcome which will benefit a small number of people. Alternatively, whilst the proposal would benefit a larger number of local residents, the needs fulfilled by this proposal can be met elsewhere if the proposal were not endorsed.

Score	
Comments and feedback	

5) Costings to deliver this proposal

Has this proposal been suitably costed?

10: Fully costed: The proposed costs for this project are known and clearly set out – these are based off quotes or are clearly calculated. Any ongoing costs are identified.

5: Partially costed: estimates for the cost of delivering this project are provided though a full breakdown is not provided. There is an awareness of ongoing costs for this proposal but no clear estimate of what these would be.

0: No costings: There is little or no indication as to how much the delivery of this project would cost or what any ongoing costs would be.

Score	
Comments and feedback	

6) Funding to deliver this proposal

Has the proposal secured funding?

10: Fully funded: This proposal has already secured the necessary funding to commence work.

5: Partially funded or aware of funding sought: This proposal has secured some of the funding it needs to commence work. Alternatively, whilst it may not have secured all the necessary funding yet, sources of funding (e.g. CIL, CAF or S106) have been identified and will be applied for before commencing work on this project.

0: No funding: The proposal currently has secured little to no funding and there is no clear identification of how funding will be sought.

Score	
Comments and feedback	

7) Awareness of risk

Does the proposal indicate an awareness of the risks associated with this project, and are these risks sufficiently mitigated?

10: Effective risk management: All of the risks associated with this proposal have identified and mitigated to ensure the project is successfully delivered.

5: Partial risk management: whilst some of the risks have been identified, many have not. Alternatively, the mitigations are not sufficient to ensure delivery.

0: No risk management: There is little or no identification of risks or significant risks, that would undermine delivery, have not been sufficiently mitigated.

Score	
Comments and feedback	

8) Risk to Romsey Future's reputation

By supporting this project, is there any reputational risk to Romsey Future?

Low: There is no risk of Romsey Future's support for the project generating public criticism, or there is overwhelming public support for the project

Medium: There is a low risk of Romsey Future's support for the project generating public criticism

High: There is a significant risk that Romsey Future's support for the project will generate public criticism (e.g. a project that could divide opinion, fail financially)

Score	
Comments and feedback	

9) Deliverability

Does the individual or organisation proposing this project have the means and ability to do this project? If they require the support of other organisations (e.g. the relevant landowner or statutory body) to deliver this work, have they secured their support for this project?

High: Project is ready for delivery: The individual or organisation proposing this project is able to deliver this project, and ready to do so. Where the support of another organisation is necessary, they can demonstrate their support in taking forward this proposal.

Medium: Delivery would be reliant other organisations and has not yet been secured: The individual or organisation proposing this project is not able to independently deliver the project and whilst they have identified partner organisations to progress this work, they have not yet gained their support in taking forward this proposal.

Low: Not ready for delivery: The proposal cannot solely be delivered by the proposed project and has not identified the external support they would need to do so.

Score	
Comments and feedback	